

Global Credit Research - 02 Apr 2014

Johannesburg, South Africa

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Negative
NSR Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	Ba2.za
NSR ST Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	NP.za

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Nondas Nicolaidis/Limassol	357.25.586.586
Christos Theofilou, CFA/Limassol	
Yves Lemay/London	44.20.7772.5454
George Korniliou, CFA/Limassol	357.25.586.586

Key Indicators

Infrastructure Finance Corp. Ltd (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]6-13	[2]6-12	[2]6-11	[2]6-10	[2]6-09	Avg.
Total managed assets (ZAR thousand)	1,480,977.02	399,453.02	703,096.05	037,888.06	630,249.0	[3]-31.3
Total managed assets (USD thousand)	149,183.8	293,385.5	398,745.5	657,190.1	858,562.5	[3]-35.4
Pretax Preprovision profits / Average Managed Assets (%)	0.7	-1.0	-1.1	1.8	-1.3	[4]-0.2
Net Income / Average Managed Assets (%)	0.6	-1.3	-0.9	1.2	-0.8	[4]-0.2
ROE (%)	3.0	-7.6	-7.1	14.7	-11.0	[4]-1.6
Tangible Common Equity (Finance) / Tangible Managed Assets (%)	28.2	17.3	16.9	10.3	6.9	[4]15.9
Effective Leverage	2.2	4.3	-	-	-	[4]3.3
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (Finance) (%)	3.5	4.1	4.6	2.0	1.4	[4]3.1
Problem Loans / (Shareholders' Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (Finance) (%)	9.2	13.5	16.1	12.7	13.6	[4]13.0

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] IFRS [3] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [4] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Ba2.za/NP.za national scale issuer ratings assigned to Infrastructure Finance Corporation Limited (INCA) reflect its damaged business model - which focused on financing the municipal sector in South Africa - and funding

profile. Specifically, increasing competitive pressures have compromised INCA's ability to raise funding at profitable rates and has also led to declining earnings power against a background of higher funding costs, tight margins and immaterial non-interest income. As a result, the company's board of directors has taken the strategic decision to cease extending new loans and manage down the portfolio.

Over the past several quarters, management has taken a number of actions to match the maturity profile of its assets to that of its liabilities. To this end, it has sold loans/advances and used proceeds to repay maturing liabilities and build up its liquidity buffers, while also buying back some of INCA's bonds outstanding. While INCA has now broadly matched the maturity profile of its assets and liabilities, management is still committed to sell an additional portion of its loan book. We consider this a credit positive move, as it partly shields INCA from the effects of possible asset quality deterioration that would otherwise have a follow-on effect on its own ability to meet liabilities. In fact, INCA's asset quality has been deteriorating, as reflected by the increase in the proportion of its loans that are classified in the non-investment-grade category on the national-scale (rated Ba1.za or lower) to 24.5% in December and June 2013, up from 17% in June 2012 and 14% in June 2011.

The deleveraging of INCA's portfolio (20% year-on-year decline in the loans & advances portfolio as of December 2013) has led to an increased capitalisation buffer, with equity to assets of 35.8% in December 2013 from 20.8% in December 2012. The ratings also impute a moderate probability of (indirect) parental support, as shareholders (including FirstRand Bank Ltd that owns 17.7% of INCA, and we rate at C- for bank financial strength rating equivalent to a baseline credit assessment of baa1) have actively demonstrated their commitment to buy INCA assets and help address its funding/liquidity issues.

Rating Drivers

- Tight liquidity positioning, albeit proactively managed
- Asset quality pressures from increasingly concentrated loan book
- Good loss absorption capacity as capital levels remain high
- Earnings exposed to volatility from fair value adjustments
- Franchise impairment as a result of unsustainable business model

Rating Outlook

INCA's ratings carry a negative outlook, reflecting the company's reduced financial flexibility as asset quality deterioration or inability on the part of INCA's clients to make timely repayment of maturing loans, would impair its ability to repay liabilities given its limited access to new funding.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Following the board's decision to run down the portfolio, as well as INCA's limited access to funding, we see limited to no scope for a rating upgrade.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

The ratings could be downgraded if INCA's asset quality indicators were to deteriorate further, or if a significant liquidity mismatch were to develop in the company's cash-flow projections. Additionally, a lower willingness on behalf of its shareholders, specifically FirstRand Bank, to support the company's possible liquidity shortage could also exert negative rating pressure.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

Note: Unless noted otherwise, data related to system-wide trends is sourced from the South African Reserve Bank (SARB). Company-specific figures originate from company reports 2010-13, unaudited interim December 2013 financials and Moody's Banking Financial Metrics.

TIGHT LIQUIDITY POSITIONING, ALBEIT PROACTIVELY MANAGED

Following the board's decision to run down INCA's balance sheet, the focus has almost exclusively shifted toward the company's ability to meet its liabilities/maturing bonds as these fall due. During the six months ending December 2013 the bank sold advances of around ZAR51 million and repaid borrowings of around ZAR291 million

bringing outstanding borrowings down to ZAR625 million as of December 2013 (33% year-on-year decrease). During the same period, investments decreased by ZAR94 million and the proceeds were used to settle liabilities. Moreover, during the 12 months ending June 2013, INCA managed to repay a total of ZAR832.6 million of borrowed funds. That included normal repayment maturities and early repayments on the subordinated bond issued. Consequently the group's unencumbered liquidity reserve declined to ZAR117.9 million in June 2013 compared with ZAR392.5 million in June 2012.

We also understand that the company is likely to sell two more significant loans this month (comprise around 28.6% of total loans) in order to enhance its core liquidity and resources available to repay its debt obligations, following a year-on-year reduction in its loan book by 20% as of December 2013. At the same time, we note INCA's liquidity maturity analysis as of December 2013, indicating a positive cumulative net cash flow throughout the period until January 2020, despite the negative net cash flow during 2015 due to high redemptions of its senior bonds. However, the liquidity risk is mitigated by INCA's unencumbered liquidity reserve that more than covers the shortfall within 2015.

The company no longer has access to the capital markets, and our working assumption is that INCA is not in a position to raise new funding, which raises the company's liquidity risk and makes it vulnerable to any possible material loan defaults in its books. However, we believe that shareholders will be willing to provide additional liquidity to INCA by purchasing assets from its portfolio for meeting its obligations in case of need.

ASSET QUALITY PRESSURES FROM INCREASINGLY CONCENTRATED LOAN BOOK

INCA has historically maintained strong asset quality, with low levels of delinquencies and a good average internal credit rating. The company has developed a good knowledge of the municipal sector and state-owned enterprises, which account for the bulk of INCA's loan book. These institutions have historically demonstrated a low bad-debt experience, partly because of government support and partly reflecting the prudent initial lending process and closely monitored follow-up procedures. The currently deteriorating asset quality (with 24.5% of gross loans rated non-investment grade on the national scale as of December 2013) is primarily the result of INCA's largest exposure of ZAR203 million to a telecoms entity (Neotel (pty) Ltd majority owned by the Indian-owned Tata Group) that was restructured, although the loan is still performing.

According to our estimates, as of end-June 2013 NPLs (loans outstanding more than 90 days) stood at 3.5% of gross loans (June 2012: 4.1%), which is higher than the average of 1.5% recorded during June 2008-June 2010. The provisioning coverage on the rental and lease book was 82.4% as of June 2013, while term loans are reported at fair value after considering their recoverability levels. The subdued pace of economic growth in South Africa has led to higher levels of NPLs and could deteriorate INCA's loan book even more. In addition, the company has very high concentrations as its loan exposure is only to a few entities, while its top 10 exposures comprised a high 80.9% of total loans as of December 2013. Although most loans are highly rated municipal-related exposures, this level of concentration raises the event risk for INCA and makes it vulnerable to any significant loan default.

GOOD LOSS ABSORPTION CAPACITY AS CAPITAL LEVELS REMAIN HIGH

As of end-December 2013, shareholders' funds accounted for 35.8% of total assets (factoring in a net dividend of ZAR9 million that was distributed to shareholders), with the ratio of borrowed funds-to-equity improving to 1.5x. The improvement in capitalisation is the result of substantial deleveraging of INCA's balance sheet in the past few years, with gross loans down to ZAR996 million in December 2013 from their peak of ZAR4.7 billion in June 2009. This improvement in the company's capitalisation metrics is credit positive as it enhances INCA's loss absorption capacity from possible loan losses.

According to INCA's estimates, its Tier 1 ratio stood at a high 84% as of December 2013, from 44% as of December 2012. The increase in the company's capital levels has been significant in the past few years, considering its CAR of 14.9% in June 2010 when INCA Portfolio Managers (Pty) Ltd were appointed with a mandate to manage down the company's assets and liabilities portfolio.

EARNINGS EXPOSED TO VOLATILITY FROM FAIR VALUE ADJUSTMENTS

INCA reported a profit after tax for the six months ended-December 2013 of ZAR8.3 million, compared with a loss of ZAR7.6 million during the same period in December 2012. Net interest income for the six months increased substantially by 44% year-on-year to nearly ZAR20 million, while the company took no further impairments and declared no interim dividend. Earnings are exposed to higher volatility as a result of large swings in realised and unrealised fair value adjustments, especially with respect to the company's investment and derivatives portfolio. Moreover, the company remains exposed to credit spreads movements in its metropolitan municipalities advances

as it does not hedge for credit spread movements.

We expect that the company's core profitability will remain under pressure as its balance sheet shrinks, while bottom-line will continue to show volatility depending on the fair value adjustment gains/losses that are mainly related to INCA's derivative financial instruments (interest rate swaps for hedging).

The bulk of INCA's operating costs are now fixed under a three-year management agreement (which has been recently extended until September 2015) with INCA Portfolio Managers (Pty) Ltd that manages INCA's portfolio and debt repayment schedule. Operating expenditure reduced by 49% year-on-year and as a percentage of core income (net interest income plus fees) comprised 26% for the six months ended-December 2013 from 73% during the same period in 2012.

FRANCHISE IMPAIRMENT AS A RESULT OF UNSUSTAINABLE BUSINESS MODEL

INCA has historically developed specialised skills in financing the municipal sector in South Africa, where it had maintained a significant market share (of approximately 10%). In recent years however, the company's business model has been facing problems, due to high levels of competitiveness in terms of price (primarily from the Development Bank of Southern Africa - DBSA, Baa1 negative) and the municipalities' reduced capacity to implement projects. As a result of this, compounded by increased funding costs and no access to the capital markets, the board of directors decided to run down the company's portfolio. This has permanently marginalised INCA's franchise value as its business model was no longer sustainable.

This strategic direction has inevitably and irreversibly impaired INCA's franchise, limiting its earnings capacity and tightening its liquidity positioning. We consider these developments to be credit negative for INCA, exerting downward pressure on its rating.

ABOUT MOODY'S BANK RATINGS

National Scale Ratings

Moody's National Scale Ratings (NSRs) are intended as relative measures of creditworthiness among debt issues and issuers within a country, enabling market participants to better differentiate relative risks. NSRs differ from Moody's global scale ratings in that they are not globally comparable with the full universe of Moody's rated entities, but only with NSRs for other rated debt issues and issuers within the same country. NSRs are designated by a ".nn" country modifier signifying the relevant country, as in ".za" for South Africa. For further information on Moody's approach to national scale ratings, please refer to Moody's Rating Implementation Guidance published in October 2012 entitled "Mapping Moody's National Scale Ratings to Global Scale Ratings".



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO

INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the

control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.